

### Hearing Committee Delegate Evaluation Form Legislative Evaluation Committee

<b>Evaluator Name</b>	:
Committee:	
Room Number:	

Rate each delegate, awarding from 1 to 5 in each category and subcategory using the scale listed above. Please total your scores and turn your sheet in at \_\_\_\_\_after you have finished evaluating all Hearing Committees. Thank you for your time and expertise.

Delegate		Category 1: Debate Skills													Total											
Write Delegate Names below			mmar aniza					ity o elive	f oral ry		Qι	ıality	of q	uestio	ons	Re	levai	nce to	deb	ate	te			ce of iestio	ns	Out of 25 Points
EXAMPLE: Clement Duran	1	2	3	4	5	1	<b>②</b>	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	16
Comments: Mr. Duran o	lebat	ted v	vell bu	ut wa	as ve	ry q	uiet a	and f	nard i	to ur	nders	tand.														
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										

YMCA Texas Youth and Government – Legislati	ve
---	----

Overall F	Rating Scale	3	Good
1	Poor	4	Excellent
2	Fair	5	Superior



# **Hearing Committee Delegate Evaluation Form**

Delegate		Category 1: Debate Skills												Total												
Write Delegate Names below			mmaı aniza	r and tion			Qual d	ity of elive			Qι	ıality	of qu	uesti	ons	Re	levar	ice to	o deb	ate	te		evano cal qu	Out of 25 Points		
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:	1					1										1					1					
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:						ı																				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:	1					1										1					1					
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										

Overall	Rating Scale	3	Good
1	Poor	4	Excellent
2	Fair	5	Superior



## **Hearing Committee Delegate Evaluation Form**

Delegate		Category 1: Debate Skills										(		Total												
Type/ Write Delegate Names below		Grammar and organization					Qual d	ity of elive			Qι	ıality	of qu	uestio	ons	Relevance to debate Relevance of technical questions										Out of 25 Points
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:	1					ı					1										1				-	
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:						ı																				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:						1										1					1					
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										



# **Hearing Committee Delegate Evaluation Form**

Delegate					Cat	tego	ory 1	l: De	bat	e Sł	cills								Total							
Type/ Write Delegate Names below				ar and Quality of oral Quality of question delivery					ons	Re	levar	ice to	deb	ate			evan cal qu			Out of 25 Points						
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:																										
<ul> <li>Outstanding Statesman</li> <li>possesses these criteria, p</li> <li>Superior knowledge of p</li> <li>Superior speaking skills</li> <li>Commanding presence</li> <li>Active debater</li> <li>Demonstrates good chain</li> </ul>	lease roced	write dure								out if	a del	egate	9				ame dd ai		ddit	ion	al co	omn	nent	s:		

Overall F	Rating Scale	3	Good
1	Poor	4	Excellent
2	Fair	5	Superior





#### **Descriptions of Categories:**

#### Rubric

- Debate skills:
  - a. Grammar and organization:
    - i. Did the delegate order his or her points understandably and appropriately?
    - ii. Did the delegate avoid slang and use complete sentences?
    - iii. Did the delegate ensure his or her key message came across using strategies like repetition?
  - **b.** Quality of oral delivery:
    - i. Did the delegate stand up straight and make eye contact?
    - ii. Did the delegate project adequately but refrain from yelling?
    - iii. Did the delegate speak respectfully to other delegates asking challenging questions?
  - **c.** Quality of questions:
    - i. Did the delegate's questions to others meaningfully further debate?
    - ii. Was the delegate able to respond to other delegates' questions about his or her bill with confidence and accuracy?
    - iii. Did the delegate effectively answer questions from others in a manner that reinforced his or her points?

#### 2. Relevance:

- a. Relevance to debate:
  - i. Did the delegate only raise questions pertinent to the topic of discussion?
  - ii. Did the delegate actually answer other delegates' questions rather than providing a non-answer?
  - iii. Did the delegate make clear his or her perspective and unique framing of the issue?
- **b.** Relevance of technical questions:
  - i. Did the delegate's technical questions meaningfully further debate? Delegates should NOT ask technical questions simply to talk more.

Please note that raising frequent points of order or non-relevant technical questions does NOT constitute quality performance.

Guidelines for (0–5) Scoring: The following represent general guidelines evaluators should apply to each category on the score sheet. It is strongly recommended that evaluators use "3" to indicate an average performance and adjust higher or lower for stronger or weaker performances.

3 — GOOD PERFORMANCE

Acceptable but uninspired presentation Needs

more creativity and persuasiveness.

- 1 —POOR PERFORMANCE
- Poor presentation
- Inadequate knowledge of bill topic/parliamentary procedures
- 2 FAIR PERFORMANCE
- Awkward presentation
- Poise and delivery need more work

- 4 EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE
- 2 Well organized; demonstrates thorough preparation.
- ☑ Smooth, engaging, creative presentation.
- 5 SUPERIOR PERFORMANCE
- Demonstrates excellent preparation; well organized.
- 2 Presentation and ideas extraordinary and realistic, not overly rehearsed or memorized.