



Judicial Trial Court Evaluation

Evaluator's Name (Printed): Co					oom:		
PROS	ECUTIO		#: Round:	DEFENSE: Team #: School:			
Plaintiff					Defense		
Atty #1	-1 1	Atty #2			Atty #1	_	Atty #2
		Х	1. Opening S	tatement (Using the scale of 0-5)			Х
Atty 1]	Atty2	d. Discussed the burden of prod	scription of his or her side of the case f; stated the relief requested; did not use notes	Atty 1	-	Atty2
				minations (Using the scale of 0-5)			
Atty 1	7	Atty2	 b. Avoided leading questions; had c. Questions were short and to d. Handled objections appropria e. Developed the direct examina 	w witness fit into the facts to be proven (case theme) indled physical evidence appropriately and effectively the point tely and effectively and did not overuse objections tion through the use of conversational language ininations (Using the scale of 0-5)	Atty 1]	Atty2
Atty 1	<u>"</u>	Atty2	 b. Attorney controlled story dev c. Properly impeached or discred d. Handled objections appropria e. Used various techniques, as r f. Used variations in vocal temp 	questions short and to the point elopment, not witness dited the witness or the case via the witness tely and effectively and did not overuse objections necessary, to handle a non-responsive witness o, volume, tone, and pitch when cross-examining argument (Using the scale of 0-5)	Atty 1]	Atty2
W1	₩2	W3	a. Theme/theory continued in cl b. Summarized the evidence; em c. Concentrated on the importal		l e	w2	W3
			5. Witness Pe	rformance (Using the scale of 0-5)			
			b. Showed understanding of thec. Demonstrated appropriate co	er; showed emotion appropriate to role facts; sounded spontaneous, not memorized urtroom decorum iination; helped their team's case			
			6. Team Per	formance (Using the scale of 0-5)			
			b. Displayed good sportsmanshic. Showed an understanding of	us and observed general courtroom decorum p to competitors, regardless of trial results courtroom procedures and evidence opriate use of time; appeared prepared and organized		٦	_
Total Pla	aintiff			TOTAL TEAM SCORE		Total	Defense
		Student		Performance (Using the se	ale of 0 –1	0)	
		b c	o. Knowledge of trial procedure; k	r/professionalism; maintenance of control of			





Judicial Trial Court Evaluation

Comments Sheet

Guidelines for (0-5) Scoring: The following represent general guidelines evaluators should apply to each category on the score sheet. It is strongly recommended that evaluators use "3" to indicate an average performance and adjust higher or lower for stronger or weaker performances. 0 — NO PERFORMANCE 3 — AVERAGE (MEETS REQUIRED STANDARDS) ☐ Failure to perform task within assigned role ☐ Acceptable but uninspired presentation ☐ Needs more spontaneity and persuasiveness 1 — FAR BELOW AVERAGE (POOR PERFORMANCE) 4 — ABOVE AVERAGE (GOOD, SOLID PERFORMANCE) ☐ Poor presentation ☐ Inadequate legal knowledge and/or understanding of role ☐ Well organized; demonstrates thorough preparation \square Good, smooth presentation 2 — BELOW AVERAGE (FAIR, WEAK PERFORMANCE) ☐ Awkward presentation 5 — EXCELLENT (EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE) ☐ Poise and delivery need more work ☐ Demonstrates excellent preparation; well organized ☐ Portrayal both extraordinary and realistic, not overly rehearsed or memorized PROSECUTION TEAM # ROUND # EVALUATOR NAME **COMMENTS:** ______ DEFENSE TEAM #_____ROUND #_____ EVALUATOR NAME____ COMMENTS: _____ROUND #_____ EVALUATOR NAME__ COMMENTS: